Putative metabolites of fulvestrant, an estrogen receptor downregulator. Improved glucuronidation using trichloroacetimidates

John R. Ferguson,^{*a*} John R. Harding,^{*b*} David A. Killick,^{*b*} Keith W. Lumbard,^{*a*} Feodor Scheinmann^{*a*} and Andrew V. Stachulski *†^{*a*}

- ^a Ultrafine UFC Ltd., Synergy House, Guildhall Close, Manchester Science Park, Manchester, UK M15 6SY
- ^b AstraZeneca UK Ltd., Drug Metabolism and Pharmacokinetics Department, Mereside, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK SK10 4TG

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 20th June 2001, Accepted 12th October 2001 First published as an Advance Article on the web 2nd November 2001

Following regioselective protection of the estrogen receptor downregulator fulvestrant (ICI 182,780) **1** as its 17-acetate **2** or 3-benzoate **4**, the 3-sulfate **5** and 3- and 17-glucuronide conjugates **8** and **12** were prepared. Satisfactory preparation of **12** required use of the tri-*O*-isobutyryl imidate derivative **10** in conjunction with an inverse-addition technique not previously employed in glucuronidation. The value of this method for simpler aglycones is discussed together with a study of variations in donor acyl substituent and catalyst. Another putative metabolite, the 17-ketone **19**, was prepared by direct oxidation of **1**.

Introduction

Effective chemotherapy for estrogen-dependent conditions, whether non-malignant or life-threatening such as breast cancer, is an important medicinal chemical goal. The 7α -substituted class of estradiol derivatives shows promise in this area, and the compound Fulvestrant {ICI 182,780;7 α -[9-(4,4,5,5,5-pentafluoropentylsulfinyl)nonyl]estra-1,3,5(10)-triene-3,17 β -diol} 1 appears a very effective example.

Fulvestrant^{1,2} is the first agent in a new class of antiestrogens that downregulate the estrogen receptor (ER) and is thus described by the term Estrogen Receptor Downregulator. Fulvestrant is the first nonagonist ('pure') antiestrogen that completely blocks the trophic action of estrogens and of the partial agonist antiestrogens (e.g., tamoxifen). Fulvestrant has a novel mode of action that induces a rapid loss of ER protein from breast cancer cells.³ This novel mode of action distinguishes fulvestrant from all other antiestrogens in current clinical use (e.g., tamoxifen, toremifene and raloxifene) which are collectively described as Selective Estrogen Receptor Modulators (SERMs). Preclinical studies showed that fulvestrant is effective against models of tamoxifen-resistant breast cancer and predicted that fulvestrant would be an effective treatment for postmenopausal women with locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer who have been previously treated with endocrine therapy.

As part of a continuing programme to define the metabolism and distribution of 1 in man, a number of putative metabolites, namely the 3-sulfate, 3- and 17-glucuronides and 17-ketone, were required as metabolic standards. We now report detailed syntheses of these derivatives together with a wider study of order of addition, acyl substitution and catalysis effects in glucuronidation. A preliminary communication of this work has appeared.⁴

Discussion

Initial experiments showed that regioselective synthesis of the conjugates of **1** could not be achieved without protection

DOI: 10.1039/b105397n



of one or other hydroxy group. Selective 17-esterification of estradiol requires acid catalysis. Estradiol 17β-acetate itself has been obtained ⁵ by reaction of estradiol with acetic anhydride and $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$, but when applied to 1 these conditions gave incomplete reaction even with excess of reagent and some decomposition. Instead, acid-catalysed transesterification ⁶ of 1 (ethyl acetate, tosic acid, distil) afforded the 17β-acetate 2 in excellent yield. The 17β-formate 3 was similarly obtained using ethyl formate. Protection of the phenol was readily achieved by Schotten–Baumann reaction of 1 with benzoyl chloride in a basic two-phase system, giving an excellent yield of 4.



Various methods of phenolic *O*-sulfation have been described, including carbodiimide coupling,⁷ but amine complexes of SO₃ are most frequently used: ⁸ recently the DMF–SO₃ complex has been employed for tyrosine.⁹ In this case SO₃·Et₃N proved more effective than SO₃·Me₃N, and when the former complex reacted with **2** a solid triethylammonium salt was isolated in 85%

J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 2001, 3037–3041 3037

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2001



[†] Present address: Department of Chemistry, The Robert Robinson Laboratories, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK L69 3BX.

yield. Treatment with aq. NaOH achieved both ion exchange and cleavage of the 17-acetate, yielding the desired sulfate **5** in excellent yield and purity.

For the synthesis of the 3-glucuronide, the tri-O-acetyl imidate $6^{10,11}$ proved the reagent of choice, as is often the case for phenols.¹² Thus the reaction of 17-acetate 2 with 1.5 equiv. of 6 and the same excess of BF₃·Et₂O gave conjugate 7 in 85% yield. Such a large excess of catalyst is not normally needed for imidate glucuronidation: it may well be that the first equivalent complexes strongly to the sulfoxide.

Hydrolysis of 7 using aq. Na₂CO₃ in methanol ¹³ left the 17βacetate intact, and further treatment with NaOH was necessary to complete the hydrolysis. After acidification to pH 6.2 the 3-glucuronide **8** was conveniently isolated as its sodium salt and purified by reversed-phase silica chromatography. We subsequently found that the 17β-formate **3** gave a conjugate corresponding to **7** in virtually identical yield and in this case hydrolysis with aq. Na₂CO₃ in methanol gave **8** directly.

Synthesis of the 17-glucuronide from 4 proved much more difficult. Reaction of 4 and 6 under standard conditions [1,2-dichloroethane (DCE), BF₃·Et₂O, 0 °C] gave only transacylation with no detectable glucuronide. It was reported ¹⁴ that a 3-protected androstane-3,17-diol derivative gave only an 8% yield of glucuronide on reaction with 6. In that series, however, a Koenigs–Knorr reaction with bromo sugar 9 and Ag₂CO₃ gave the desired conjugate in 34% yield. We obtained no glucuronide on reaction of 4 with 9 and Ag₂CO₃: when CdCO₃¹⁵ was used as catalyst, an orthoester was produced in 75% yield [$\delta_{\rm H}$, *inter alia*, 1.8 (3 H, s) and 5.9 (1 H, d)]. Attempted acid-catalysed rearrangement of the orthoester led only to decomposition.



We have previously demonstrated 16,17 the advantages of the tri-*O*-isobutyryl imidate **10** as a donor. Initial results with this intermediate were not encouraging, however: under directly comparable conditions to those used for **6**, transacylation was still the major outcome, with just 7% of the conjugate **11** isolated by chromatography.

At this point, we discovered that the reaction was subject to a profound order-of-addition effect. Thus, when **10** was added slowly at -15 °C to a mixture of **4** and BF₃·Et₂O in DCE, rather than adding BF₃·Et₂O to premixed **4** and **10** in DCE, the conjugate **11** was isolated in 50% yield after chromatography, with only 15% of transacylated product. Schmidt and Toepfer noted ¹⁸ a similarly advantageous inverse addition when using a fucosyl imidate, which, being a 6-deoxy sugar, is a much more reactive donor.

Table 1 Comparison of imidate glucuronidation of some secondary alcohols using the 'normal' (method A) and 'inverse' (method B) procedures

1			
Alcohol	Imidate	Method	%Yield of glucuronide
4	10	A	7
4	10	В	50
13	6	Α	18
13	6	В	24
13	10	Α	39
13	10	В	49
16	6	Α	31
16	10	В	77
1	R ¹ O ³ X	$R^2 O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O$	OR ² OCO ₂ R ³
	~ =	- 43 11 1	
		\mathbf{p}^1 \mathbf{p}_{100} \mathbf{p}^2	

11
$$R^{1} = PhCO, R^{2} = Pr^{1}CO, R^{3} = CH_{3}$$

12 $R^{1} = R^{2} = R^{3} = H$

Intermediate 11 was cleanly hydrolysed using NaOH in aq. $Pr^{i}OH$, and the free glucuronide 12 was isolated and purified as for 8, giving material of >98% purity by analytical reversed-phase HPLC.

The value of the inverse-addition technique was further studied using other alcohols, in particular the secondary alcohol cyclohexanol 13 (Table 1). Reaction of either 6 or 10 with 13 using 0.5 eq. BF₃·Et₂O gave a slightly but consistently higher yield of conjugates 14 and 15 when using the inverse technique, though the improvement was not as dramatic as that seen with 4. Using either addition method, 10 gave double the yield of 6. Another steroidal aglycone, 11a-hydroxy-progesterone 16, gave a far better yield of conjugate 17 by the inverse method. It appears that the effect is most pronounced with aglycones bearing groups which can complex strongly to the Lewis acid (C=O, S=O): we note this was the case in Schmidt and Toepfer's example cited above.



When just 0.25 eq. of $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$ was used in the reaction of 13 with 10, significant quantities of the orthoester 18 resulted initially by technique A or B, in addition to conjugate 15. Prolonged reaction (40 h) gave steady conversion of 18 to 15 in virtually the same yield (51%) as that seen before. The use of $ZnCl_2$ (0.5 eq.) as a catalyst¹⁹ gave 18 as the main product in the early stages: prolonged reaction led again to a satisfactory yield (39%) of glucuronide. Using the stronger Lewis acid trimethyl-silyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (TMSOTf, 0.25 eq.), 'inverse' reaction of 13 with 10 was complete in *ca*. 0.25 h at -10 °C and no orthoester was detected; 15 resulted in 52% yield.

Inverse addition: mechanistic discussion

In the paper cited above, Schmidt and Toepfer¹⁸ considered that, in the inverse-addition mode, prior complexation of acceptor component and catalyst led to minimal contact between the imidate donor and the catalyst, thereby reducing



decomposition and side reactions of the imidate. There, as here, the acceptor contained functionality which could strongly bind the catalyst. Our observation that the beneficial effect of 'inverse addition' is much less with acceptors lacking such functionality (C=O, S=O, *etc.*) is consistent with this proposal. It is also interesting that the effect is pronounced with donors of such differing ability, from benzyl ether-protected fucosyl imidates (excellent donors) to acyl-protected glucuronate imidates (much poorer donors, requiring also considerably more Lewis acid).

It is noticeable that orthoester formation is not suppressed by the isobutyryl esters in 10 and becomes pronounced at lower concentrations of BF₃·Et₂O even with the 'inverse' technique (10 + 13). There is a clear gradation across the three Lewis acids studied, with no orthoester seen (or transient?) using the more powerful TMSOTf even in lower concentration. Possibly two distinct glucuronidation mechanisms are operating, namely *either via* orthoester and rearrangement *or via* S_N ²like displacement of complexed imidate by acceptor without participation by the 2-ester, leading to α -to- β inversion. The 'inverse' mechanism, in which the imidate on addition meets an excess of acceptor, is more likely to adopt the latter pathway.

We conclude that the tri-O-isobutyryl imidate **12** is a versatile reagent for the glucuronidation of a range of alcohols. Order of addition, acyl substitution and catalyst are all important variables in glucuronidation, with inverse addition having advantages especially for complex aglycones.

Finally, the 17-keto metabolite **19** was accessible by direct, low-temperature Swern oxidation of **1**, affording the product in 65% yield after chromatography.

Experimental

General

Ether refers to diethyl ether. Organic extracts were finally washed with brine and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate prior to rotary evaporation below 40 °C. Analytical TLC was performed on aluminium-backed Merck Kieselgel 60 plates; Merck silica gel 60 (art. 7729) was used for preparative chromatography. Mps were determined on a Kofler block and are uncorrected. NMR spectra were obtained on a Varian Inova instrument at 300 MHz or on a Bruker AC Spectrospin instrument at 250 MHz except where stated, using a deuterium lock, on CDCl₃ solutions except where noted; δ -values are $\delta_{\rm H}$ except where stated. Mass spectra were recorded on a Kratos MS 25 instrument working in the chemical ionisation (CI) or fast-atom bombardment (FAB) mode, or on a Waters ZMD instrument operating in the electrospray (ES) mode. Analytes were eluted with a gradient of acetonitrile (20%-35% over a period of 15 min; 35%-70% over a period of 10 min) in ammonium acetate (0.1 M; pH 6.9) at 0.9 ml min⁻¹.

Abbreviations used for common solvents are AcOH (ethanoic acid), DCM (dichloromethane), DMF (N,N-dimethylform-amide), EtOAc (ethyl ethanoate) and PrⁱOH (propan-2-ol).

The trivial designation 'ICI 182,780' is used for the parent steroid 1; the systematic name is 7α -[9-(4,4,5,5,5-pentafluoro-pentylsulfinyl)nonyl]estra-1,3,5(10)-triene-3,17 β -diol.

ICI 182,780-17β-acetate 2

A solution of ICI 182,780 **1** (0.61 g, 1 mmol) and toluene-4sulfonic acid monohydrate (0.050 g) in ethyl acetate (30 mL) was heated and distilled, with EtOAc added to maintain the volume of solution. Further toluene-4-sulfonic acid (0.20 g) was added after 2 h and the distillation was continued for a further 4 h, then the solution was cooled, washed sequentially with saturated aq. NaHCO₃ and water (20 mL each) and evaporated to give a gum which on re-evaporation from ether (3 × 5 mL) afforded acetate **2** as a crisp foam (0.63 g, 97%) (Found: m/z, 648.3267. C₃₄H₄₉F₅O₄S requires M, 648.3271); v_{max} (CHCl₃/cm⁻¹ 3600sh, 3500–3100br, 1725, 1610w, 1500w, 1045sh and 1025; δ (Perkin-Elmer R12B, 220 MHz) *inter alia* 0.85 (3 H, s, 18-H₃), 2.05 (3 H, s, CH₃CO), 4.75 (1 H, m, 17-H), 6.65 (1 H, narrow d, 4-H), 6.75 (1 H, dd, 2-H) and 7.25 (1 H, d, 1-H); m/z (EI) 648 (M⁺, 65%) and (Cl, NH₃) 649 (MH⁺, 100%).

ICI 182,780-17β-formate 3

In a similar manner, ICI 182,780 **1** (1.82 g, 3.00 mmol) was allowed to react with ethyl formate (100 mL) using toluene-4-sulfonic acid monohydrate (0.80 g) as catalyst; reaction was noticeably quicker than for **2**. Work-up as for **2** gave formate **3** (1.90 g, quant.) as a foam (Found: m/z, 635.31964. C₃₃H₄₈F₅O₄S requires MH⁺, 635.31935); δ (400 MHz) *inter alia* 0.85 (3 H, s, 18-H₃), 4.80 (1 H, m, *CHO*CH=O), 6.56 (1 H, d, *J* 2.5 Hz, 4-H), 6.85 (1 H, dd, *J* 8.5 and 2.5 Hz, 2-H), 7.12 (1 H, d, *J* 8.5 Hz, 1-H) and 8.10 (1 H, s, OCH=O); m/z (FAB +ve mode, 3-NOBA) 635 (MH⁺, 20%) and 589 ([M – HCO₂]⁺, 30).

ICI 182,780-3-benzoate 4

Benzoyl chloride (0.70 mL, 0.84 g, 6 mmol) was added to a wellstirred mixture of 1 (2.42 g, 4.0 mmol) in DCM (15 mL) and a solution of KOH (0.90 g, 16 mmol) in water (15 mL) at 0 °C. After 2 h, when the temperature had been allowed to rise to 20 °C, further benzoyl chloride (0.35 mL, 3 mmol) was added. After a further 0.5 h, the mixture was diluted with both water (50 mL) and ethyl acetate (100 mL), then the organic phase was separated, washed successively with saturated aq. NaHCO3 and water (20 mL each), and evaporated to leave a gum. Reevaporation from ether $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$ gave the benzoate 4 as a crisp foam (2.83 g, 99%) (Found: M⁺, 710.3428. C₃₉H₅₁F₅O₄S requires M, 710.3435); v_{max}(CHCl₃)/cm⁻¹ 1730, 1605w, 1585sh and 1495w; \delta (Perkin-Elmer R12B, 220 MHz) inter alia 0.80 (3 H, s, 18-H₃), 3.75 (1 H, t, 17-H), 6.95 (1 H, narrow d, 4-H), 7.00 (1 H, dd, 2-H), 7.35 (1 H, d, 1-H), 7.55 (2 H, m, 3'-H + 5'-H), 7.65 (1 H, m, 4'-H) and 8.25 (2 H, approx. d, 2'-H + 6'-H); m/z (CI) 711 (MH⁺, 100%); (EI) 710 (M⁺, 15%). This product contained traces of a high- $R_{\rm f}$ impurity, removable by chromatography, but was quite suitable for progression.

ICI 182,780-3-Sulfate, sodium salt 5

Sulfur trioxide–triethylamine complex (SO₃·Et₃N) (1.5 g, 8.28 mmol) was added to a solution of the acetate **2** (5.0 g, 7.71 mmol) in anhydrous pyridine (20 mL) being stirred at 20 °C. After 1 h, further SO₃·Et₃N (1.2 g, 6.62 mmol) was added, and after 16 h the solution was diluted with ether (200 mL): after a few minutes the supernatant was decanted and the solid residue washed with further ether (2 × 200 mL), then dried *in vacuo*. Chromatography of the crude product, eluting with 5% methanol–DCM, afforded a semi-solid (5.52 g) whose NMR

spectrum was consistent with the 17-acetate of the triethylammonium salt of **5**. A solution of this material in methanol (48 mL) was treated with 5 M aq. NaOH (11.5 mL) and stirred at 20 °C for 1 h, then glacial acetic acid was added to give a pH of 9.0. The solution was evaporated, and the residue was redissolved in water containing a little methanol and chromatographed on reversed-phase silica (LiChroprep RP-18), eluting initially with water, then with increasing percentages of up to 60% methanol in water. Evaporation of appropriate fractions afforded sodium salt **5** (3.75 g, 85%) (Found: m/z, 731.2459. C₃₂H₄₆F₅NaO₆S₂ requires MNa⁺, 731.2452); v_{max} (Nujol)/cm⁻¹ 3700–3100br, 1610sh, 1270, 1190s and 1050; δ (CD₃OD) 0.82 (3 H, s, 18-H₃), 3.73 (1 H, m, 17-H), 7.04 (1 H, narrow d, 4-H), 7.08 (1 H, dd, 2-H) and 7.30 (1 H, d, 1-H); m/z (FAB +ve ion, 3-nitrobenzyl alcohol) 731 (MNa⁺, 100%).

Methyl 2,3,4-tri-O-acetyl-β-D-glucopyranuronate derivative 7

 BF_3 ·Et₂O (0.080 mL, 0.089 g, 0.625 mmol) was added at -10 °C to a solution of acetate 2 (0.32 g, 0.5 mmol) and imidate 6^{12} (0.36 g, 0.75 mmol) in anhydrous DCM (3 mL) which had been stirred over freshly activated 4 Å molecular sieves under argon for 0.75 h. The temperature was kept below 0 °C, and after 1 h, when reaction appeared complete by TLC, the solution was diluted with EtOAc (25 mL), washed successively with saturated aq. NaHCO₃ and water (20 mL each), and evaporated to dryness, giving a gum (0.70 g), which was chromatographed on silica (ca. 15 g), and eluting with from 25 to 70% EtOAc in hexane in steps. Appropriate fractions (UV-absorbing, claret on resorcinol stain, more polar than starting material) were pooled and evaporated; addition of ether (5 mL) and re-evaporaton gave glucuronide ester 7 as a crisp foam (0.402 g, 84%) [Found (FAB): *m*/*z*, 965.4144. C₄₇H₆₆F₅O₁₃S requires MH⁺, 965.4141]; v_{max}(CHCl₃)/cm⁻¹ 1755vs, 1725sh, 1610w, 1600w, 1075sh and 1040vs; δ (CDCl₃) inter alia 0.86 (3 H, s, 18-H₃), 2.05–2.10 (12 H, 4 s, 4 × CH₃CO), 3.78 (3 H, s, CH₃O), 4.21 (1 H, m, 5'-H), 4.72 (1 H, t, 17-H), 5.14 (1 H, d, J 8 Hz, 1'-H), 5.25–5.40 (3 H, m, 2'-H + 3'-H + 4'-H), 6.73 (1 H, narrow d, 4-H), 6.81 (1 H, dd, 2-H) and 7.23 (1 H, d, 1-H); m/z (ES +ve mode) 964 (M⁺, 25%) and 987 (MNa⁺, 100).

The 17-formate **3** could equally well be used in this experiment.

β-D-Glucopyranuronic acid derivative 8 (ICI 182,780-3-β-Dglucuronide)

A solution of the glucuronate ester 7 (0.350 g, 0.36 mmol) in methanol (10 mL) was stirred at 0 °C with aq. Na₂CO₃ (0.21 g, 2 mmol in 4 mL). The temperature was allowed to rise to 20 °C, when a solution was gradually obtained. After 4 h further aq. Na₂CO₃ (0.03 g in 2 mL) was added: after 5 h, when reaction appeared complete by TLC (EtOAc-PrⁱOH-water, 5:3:1), glacial AcOH was added to give a pH of 6.2, then the solution was evaporated to dryness and the residue azeotroped with ethanol $(3 \times 5 \text{ mL})$. On trituration with cold water (2 mL), a white solid separated: the mother liquors were removed, then the solid was washed twice more with a little cold water. The material was then extremely hygroscopic, so it was re-evaporated from ethanol, then from ether $(3 \times 5 \text{ mL})$ and dried in vacuo, under high vacuum to give a hard glass (0.306 g). NMR analysis (300 MHz; CD₃OD) showed that this material contained the unhydrolysed 17-acetate.

The product was therefore redissolved in methanol (3 mL) and treated with 1 M NaOH (0.5 mL). After 16 h the solution was acidified to pH 7 with AcOH, then the product was isolated as above, except that the final sodium salt was too water-soluble for further washing after the first trituration. Instead the crude product (0.273 g) was rigorously dried *in vacuo*, dissolved in water (5 mL), and subjected to chromatography on reversed-phase silica (LiChroprep RP-18, 10 g) as described for compound **5**. On this occasion the column was eluted with up to

3040

J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 2001, 3037–3041

70% methanol in 10% steps. Product-rich fractions (UV, resorcinol) emerged at 60%; these were combined and evaporated to dryness, then triturated with ether to give the product **8** as its sodium salt as a flaky solid (0.199 g, 68%) (Found: m/z, 827.3204. C₃₈H₅₄F₅NaO₉S requires MNa⁺, 827.3207); δ [(CD₃)₂SO + few drops D₂O] *inter alia* 0.65 (3 H, s, 18-H₃), 3.15–3.30 (3 H, m, 2'-H + 3'-H + 4'-H), 3.43 (1 H, d, 5'-H), 3.54 (1 H, t, 17-H), 4.74 (1 H, d, J 8 Hz, 1'-H), 6.70 (1 H, narrow d, 4-H), 6.81 (1 H, dd, 2-H) and 7.18 (1 H, d, 1-H); m/z (ES -ve mode) 781 (M - Na⁺, 100%); HPLC purity 97% (Spherisorb OSDS 2 column, methanol-aq. NH₄OAc mixtures), no aglycone.

Methyl 2,3,4-tri-*O*-isobutyryl-β-D-glucopyranuronate derivative 11

A solution of imidate 10¹⁵ (0.42 g, 0.75 mmol) in anhydrous DCE (2 mL) was added dropwise over a period of 12 min at -15 °C to a solution of 3-benzoyl steroid 4 (0.355 g, 0.5 mmol) and BF₃·Et₂O (0.080 mL, 0.75 mmol) in DCE (3 mL) which had previously been stirred over 4 Å MS under argon for 0.75 h. The temperature was allowed to rise to +5 °C over a period of 4 h, then the reaction was worked up as described for compound 7. The crude product (0.75 g) was chromatographed on silica (17 g), eluting with from 30 to 60% EtOAc-hexane in steps of 5%. Transacylated product (see text: bright red resorcinol stain) was eluted first, then the desired product (UV-absorbing, claret resorcinol stain, less polar than starting material). Appropriate fractions were combined, evaporated and azeotroped with ether to give glucuronide ester 11 (0.283 g, 51%) as a foam (Found: *m*/*z*, 1111.5243. C₅₈H₈₀F₅O₁₃S requires MH⁺, 1111.5239); v_{max} (Nujol)/cm⁻¹ 1745vs, 1605w, 1585w, 1085 and 1065m; δ (CDCl₃) inter alia 0.80 (3 H, s, 18-H₃), 3.75 (1 H, t, 17-H), 3.78 (3 H, s, MeO), 4.08 (1 H, d, 5'-H), 4.71 (1 H, d, J 8 Hz, 1'-H), 5.10-5.40 (3 H, 3 t, 2'-H + 3'-H + 4'-H), 6.95 (1 H, br s, 4-H), 7.01 (1 H, dd, 2-H), 7.36 (1 H, d, 1-H), 7.55 (2 H, m, ArH), 7.67 (1 H, m, ArH) and 8.23 (2 H, d, ArH); m/z (CI) 1111 (MH+, 20%) and 918 ($[M - 193]^+$).

β -D-Glucopyranuronic acid derivative 12 (ICI 182,780-17- β -D-glucuronide), sodium salt

Aq. NaOH (0.102 g, 2.55 mmol in 4 mL) was added to a suspension of ester 11 (0.380 g, 0.34 mmol) in Pr'OH (4.5 mL) which was stirred at 0 °C. A solution gradually resulted and was allowed to attain ambient temperature. After 7 h, the solution was stored at 0 °C for 16 h, then restored to 20 °C. After a further 4 h, when reaction appeared complete by TLC (EtOAc-PrⁱOH-water, 5:3:1), methanol (17 mL) was added, followed by addition of AcOH to pH 7 and evaporation to dryness, azeotroping with several portions of ethanol to counter frothing. The crude product (0.46 g) was suspended in water (20 ml) and applied to a column of LiChroprep RP-18 (7.5 g). Elution was performed as described for 5, increasing the methanol percentage to 80% in 10% steps in this case. The product emerged in the range 60-80% methanol: appropriate fractions were pooled and evaporated, azeotroping with ethanol (6×) and eventually drying in vacuo in high vacuum to afford glucuronide 12 (0.204 g, 74%) as a hard glass (Found: m/z, 827.3191. $C_{38}H_{54}F_5Na_2O_9S$ requires MNa⁺, 827.3204); δ (CD₃OD) inter alia 0.88 (3 H, s, 18-H₃), 3.21, 3.36, 3.48 (3 H, 3 t, 2'-H + 3'-H + 4'-H), 3.65 (1 H, d, 5'-H), 3.84 (1 H, t, 17-H), 4.39 (1 H, d, 1'-H), 6.45 (1 H, narrow d, 4-H), 6.54 (1 H, dd, 2-H) and 7.08 (1 H, d, 1-H); m/z (ES) 781 ([M - Na]⁺, 100%). A very weak $[2 \times (M - Na) + H]^+$ peak was also seen, m/z 1563; HPLC purity 98% (conditions as 8), no aglycone.

Imidate glucuronidations: general procedures—A. 'Normal' addition

BF₃·Et₂O was added to a mixture of the aglycone and imidate

(either 6 or 10) which was stirred in DCE at the temperature stated.

B. 'Inverse' addition. A solution of imidate 6 or 10 in DCE was added dropwise over a period of 10 min at -10 to -15 °C to a stirred mixture of aglycone and BF₃·Et₂O in DCE. Workup of both methods A and B was performed as described for the conversion of 2 to 7.

Methyl 1-O-cyclohexyl-2,3,4-tri-O-acetyl- β -D-glucopyranuron-ate 14

This compound, prepared from cyclohexanol **13** and imidate **6** by method **A** or **B**, had mp 137–140 °C (from ethanol) (lit.,²⁰ 137.5–139 °C); δ (Perkin-Elmer R12B, 220 MHz; CDCl₃) 1.15–1.95 (10 H, m, 5 × CCH₂), 2.03, 2.05 (9 H, 3 s, 3 × CH₃CO), 3.65–3.75 (1 H, m, cyclohexyl methine H), 3.78 (3 H, s, OCH₃), 4.05 (1 H, d, 5-H), 4.68 (1 H, d, 1-H), 5.00 (1 H, m, 2-H) and 5.18–5.33 (2 H, m, 3-H + 4-H).

Methyl 1-O-cyclohexyl-2,3,4-tri-O-isobutyryl-β-D-glucopyranuronate 15

This compound was prepared from cyclohexanol **13** and imidate **10** using either method **A** or **B** with the results shown in Table 1 after purification by chromatography on silica, and eluting with 20% EtOAc–isohexane, then 30% EtOAc–isohexane. Compound **15** had mp 138–141 °C (from EtOAc–isohexane) (Found: C, 60.2; H, 8.1. $C_{25}H_{40}O_{10}$ requires C, 60.0; H, 8.0%); δ (Perkin-Elmer R12B, 220 MHz; CDCl₃) *inter alia* 1.05–1.22 [18 H, m, 3 × (CH₃)₂CH], 2.40–2.60 [3 H, m, 3 × (CH₃)₂CH], 3.60–3.72 (1 H, m, cyclohexyl methine H), 3.75 (3 H, s, CH₃O), 4.06 (1 H, d, 5'-H), 4.68 (1 H, d, 1'-H), 5.05 (1 H, m, 2'-H) and 5.20–5.40 (2 H, m, 3'-H + 4'-H); *m*/*z* (CI) 518 (MNH₄⁺, 100%).

When 13 and 10 were allowed to react using ZnCl₂ (0.5 eq.) as catalyst and the reaction was quenched before completion (saturated aq. NaHCO₃ added, followed by extraction with EtOAc), a product less polar than 15 could be isolated as an oil which had spectral data corresponding to methyl 1,2-O-[1-(cyclohexyloxy)-2-methylpropylidene]-3,4-di-O-isobutyrylα-D-glucopyranuronate 18 (Found: m/z, 501.2707. C₂₅H₄₁O₁₀ requires MH⁺, 501.2700); δ (Bruker 250 MHz) inter alia 3.60 (1 H, m, cyclohexyl CHO), 3.77 (3 H, s, CH₃O), 4.28 (1 H, m, 2-H), 4.51 (1 H, d, J 7.25 Hz, 5-H), 5.15 (1 H, dd, 4-H), 5.27 (1 H, m, 3-H) and 5.89 (1 H, d, J 5 Hz, 1-H); m/z (CI) 501 $(MH^+, 10\%)$ and 518 $(MNH_4^+, 5)$. The assignments were confirmed by irradiation of the signal at δ 4.28, which caused the signal at δ 5.27 to become a doublet while that at δ 5.89 became a singlet, and irradiation of the signal at δ 4.51 which caused the signal at δ 5.15 to become a doublet. The shift values are typical for an orthoester in the glucuronic acid series.²¹ When the ZnCl₂-catalysed reaction was allowed to proceed to completion, compound 15 was isolated in 39% yield.

Methyl 1-*O*-(3,20-dioxopregn-4-en-11α-yl)-2,3,4-tri-*O*isobutyryl-β-D-glucopyranuronate 17

11α-Hydroxyprogesterone **16** was treated with imidate **10** using method **A** or **B** (Table 1). The crude product was dissolved in DCM and chromatographed, eluting with 5%, then 10%, EtOAc–isohexane; appropriate fractions were pooled and evaporated to give pure **17**, yields as in Table 1, mp 205–208 °C (from ethanol) (Found: C, 64.0; H, 8.2. C₄₀H₅₈O₁₂·2C₂H₅OH requires C, 64.2; H, 8.5%); $v_{max}(film)/cm^{-1}$ 2973, 2940, 2880, 1751, 1704, 1670 and 1470; δ (CDCl₃) *inter alia* 0.74 (3 H, s, 19-H₃), 1.08–1.22 [18 H, m, 3 × (CH₃)₂CH], 1.35 (3 H, s, 18-H₃), 2.18 (3 H, s, 21-H₃), 3.75 (3 H, s, CH₃O), 4.10 (1 H, d, *J* 10 Hz, 5'-H), 4.75 (1 H, d, *J* 8 Hz, 1'-H), 5.03 (1 H, dd, 2'-H), 5.27 (1 H, dd, 4'-H), 5.39 (1 H, t, 3'-H) and 5.75 (1 H, s, 4-H); *m*/*z* (FAB) 731 (MH⁺).

ICI 182,780-17-ketone 19

Oxalyl dichloride (0.05 mL, 0.073 g, 0.58 mmol) was stirred in dry DCM (3 mL) at -70 °C under nitrogen. A solution of dimethyl sulfoxide (0.1 mL, 0.1101 g, 1.41 mmol) in dry DCM was added dropwise over a period of 10-15 min, keeping the internal temperature below -60 °C. The mixture was stirred for 5 min, then a solution of ICI 182,780 1 (0.305 g, 0.5 mmol) in dry DCM (3 mL) was added dropwise over a period of 15 min, maintaining the same temperature. After stirring of the mixture at -70 °C for 2 h, diisopropylamine (0.5 mL) was added over a period of 5 min and the mixture was stirred at -70 °C for a further 30 min, then allowed to warm to room temperature. Water (5 mL) and DCM (5 mL) were successively added and the mixture was stirred for 25 min before the addition of further water (10 mL) and DCM (10 mL). The phases were separated and the organic phase was washed with water (30 mL), then dried over anhydrous MgSO4 for 16 h and evaporated to give an oil which solidified on storage. Chromatography, eluting with EtOAc-toluene (7:3 v/v), afforded on evaporation of appropriate fractions the product 19 as a clear oil (0.195 g, 65%) (Found: M⁺, 604.2990. C₃₂H₄₅F₅O₃S requires *M*, 604.3010. Micromass GCT instrument, EI); δ (400 MHz; CDCl₃) inter alia 0.9 (3 H, s, 18-H₃), 2.2 (2 H, m, 16-H₂), 6.58 (1 H, narrow d, 4-H), 6.65 (1 H, dd, 2-H) and 7.13 (1 H, d, 1-H); $\delta_{\rm C}$ inter alia 220.5 (C-17); *m*/*z* (EI) 604 (M⁺).

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Dr G. Robinson (Process Development Department, AstraZeneca UK Ltd.) for valuable technical discussions on the chemistry of 1, to Mr I. Cumpstey (St. John's College, Oxford) for skilled experimental assistance and to Mrs V. Boote (University of Manchester) for high-resolution mass spectrometry in the fast-atom bombardment mode.

References

- 1 A. E. Wakeling and J. Bowler, J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol., 1992, 43, 173.
- 2 D. J. DeFriend, A. Howell, R. I. Nicholson, E. Anderson, M. Dowsett, R. E. Mansell, A. W. Blamey, N. J. Bundred, J. F. Robertson, C. Saunders, W. Baum, P. Walton, F. Sutcliffe and A. E. Wakeling, *Cancer Res.*, 1994, **54**, 408.
- 3 A. E. Wakeling, Endocr. Relat. Cancer, 2000, 7, 17.
- 4 J. R. Ferguson, J. R. Harding, K. W. Lumbard, F. Scheinmann and A. V. Stachulski, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2000, 41, 389.
 5 Y. Nagao, E. Fujita, T. Kohno and M. Yagi, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*,
- 5 Y. Nagao, E. Fujita, T. Kohno and M. Yagi, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 1981, **29**, 3202.
- 6 K. Tsuneda, J. Yamada, K. Yasuda and H. Mori, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 1963, **11**, 510.
- 7 J. S. Walsh, J. E. Patanella, K. A. Halm and K. L. Facchine, Drug Metab. Dispos., 1995, 23, 869.
- 8 J. P. Dusza, J. P. Joseph and S. Bernstein, Steroids, 1968, 12, 49.
- 9 S. Futaki, T. Taike, T. Yagami, T. Ogawa, T. Akita and K. Kitagawa, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1990, 1739.
- 10 B. Fischer, A. Nudelman, M. Ruse, J. Herzig, H. E. Gottlieb and E. Keinan, J. Org. Chem., 1984, 49, 4988.
- 11 J.-C. Jacquinet, Carbohydr. Res., 1990, 199, 153.
- 12 A. V. Stachulski and G. N. Jenkins, Nat. Prod. Rep., 1998, 15, 173.
- 13 R. T. Brown, F. Scheinmann and A. V. Stachulski, J. Chem. Res. (S), 1997, 370.
- 14 P. N. Rao, A. M. Rodriguez and D. W. Miller, J. Steroid Biochem., 1986, 25, 417.
- 15 R. B. Conrow and S. Bernstein, J. Org. Chem., 1971, 36, 863.
- 16 R. T. Brown, S. P. Mayalarp, A. T. McGown and J. A. Hadfield, J. Chem. Res. (S), 1993, 496.
- 17 R. T. Brown, N. E. Carter, K. W. Lumbard and F. Scheinmann, Tetrahedron Lett., 1995, 36, 8661.
- 18 R. R. Schmidt and A. Toepfer, Tetrahedron Lett., 1991, 32, 3353.
- 19 R. Windmueller and R. R. Schmidt, Tetrahedron Lett., 1994, 35, 7927.
- 20 B. Helferich and A. Berger, Chem. Ber., 1957, 90, 2492.
- 21 G. T. Badman, D. V. S. Green and M. Voyle, J. Organomet. Chem., 1990, 388, 117.